(2)

No. 09-44

In The

Supreme Court of the United States

RAMON CANO

Petitioner(s)

v.

HANSON AGGREGATES OF AZ

Respondent(s)

On Petition For Rehearing To
The United States Supreme Court

PETITION FOR REHEARING

Ramon Cano
Counsel of Record
My Firm
19801 N. 6th PL
Phoenix AZ, 85024
(623) 587-1444

The Reason my petition should be reheard

This petition should be reheard because I feel it was strongly misjudged. I feel that sufficient questions where brought forward to the court that need to be answered before my case can be brought to justice. Being a first generation immigrant to work for Hanson for sixteen years speaks for my integrity. The only real defense the insurance lawyer seems to cling too is that I didn't report my injury while I was working, but this can be explained with the fact that I was unaware that my injury was caused by me working construction. I thought it was tired muscles or over exhaustion. The insurance company says that I spent most of my time in the crusher (an operating machine one sits in to crush the rocks) and very little actual labor work. I disagree with this statement that could be easily solved by showing the records of what each employee did during there work shift. You would think that such a big company like Hanson would keep records or time sheets of what each employee was doing during there shift or who

was operating what at any given time. But the insurance lawyer failed to supply any such indicator which is another problem with my case being judged. Another point the insurance lawyer makes is why did I not go to a doctor sooner if the pain was so overwhelming? Well at the time I didn't have insurance and I applied for APIPA. This process took six months to which afterwards I was forced to pay to see a doctor out of my own pocket because the process was taking too long. From there I was sent to see a specialist on back problems who then told me that my back problem was caused by work and I should file a claim with the company. That is when I learned that my back problems resulted from work and hence forth filed a claim. I also have testimonies from people that say I was in pain from my back while I was working for Hanson and up in till this present moment. As you can easily tell these are the problems I have with my petition being denied. All the answers to the questions the insurance lawyer asks are here. I am permanently disabled and am forced to ask for

social security payments because I can no longer work. I would not have gone to all this trouble if I didn't think I was right. I still have faith in the justice system of the United States to simply review my case and ask the right questions. Thank you for your time.

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

No. 07·

Ramon Cano,

Petitioner(s)

V.

My Opponent(s)

Respondent(s)

As required by Supreme Court Rule 44(h), I certify that the petition for a rehearing contains 450 words, excluding the parts of the petition that are exempted by Supreme Court Rule 44(d).

I certify this Petition for rehearing is in good faith and not to delay as required by rule 44.2
I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on OCT/29, 2009

Ramon Cano